

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,988	07/29/2003	Shaun L. Harris	100110471-2	9180
75	590 01/29/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			NGO, HUNG V	
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	80527-2400		2831	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/20/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0110
	10/629,988	HARRIS ET AL.	,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung V Ngo	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely, the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/orange. 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language priority.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). t of the certified copies not received it priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) rst sentence of the specification of covisional application has been received it priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Sta ed. e) (to a provisional ap r in an Application Dat ceived. and/or 121 since a s	plication) ta Sheet. pecific
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15	
J.S. Palent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/629,988

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-18, 20-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,779 (Harris et al). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Harris et al disclose the invention as claimed including a first chassis, a second chassis, at least one first flexible circuit.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Page 3

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 26-31 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,659,779. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 308-7614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on (703) 308-3682. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

HVN

01-25-04

How V NGO

HUNG V. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER